

***Why Understanding 9/11 is Crucial to Our Future***

Vers. 13

by Ian Woods, Publisher/Editor *Global Outlook* [www.GlobalOutlook.ca](http://www.GlobalOutlook.ca)

Wolfe Hall – London Public Library

*Wednesday February 17, 2010 7:00 pm*

***THE BILLING*** ~ As most Canadians realize, the issue of 9/11 and what really happened that day is far from settled. With the mainstream media in deep denial over the evidence brought forward by scores of respected scientists, engineers, architects, and even military and intelligence officers, the burden has fallen on nonofficial bodies to bring the evidence into public view. Mr. Woods will present **26** major anomalies that undermine the official story. He will make it abundantly clear in his review of the evidence that no “theories” are involved. The fairy tale of 19 crazed Muslim/Arab hijackers allegedly flying four commercial aircraft for 1-3/4 hours without the US Air Force firing a shot is now known as the “official conspiracy theory.” It has more holes in it than a Swiss cheese. With far more expertise to back up his claims than any media outlet can boast, Ian Woods examines the anatomy of **10** “false flag” attacks that changed history and acted as precedents for what really happened on the morning of September 11 2001. Our sad history of bogus terrorism and endless wars of hegemony and resource theft will continue until the public demands and gets a full investigation.

INTRO ~

Good evening everyone. Thank you George for your kind introduction. Thank you all the members of Citizen’s Inquest for putting on this event.

Our topic this evening is:

**1. Why Understanding 9/11 is Crucial to Our Future**

I’m going to be referencing Global Outlook’s latest Issue 13 this evening. Those of you who wish to can get more details on this topic at the Global Outlook table in the lobby.

2. This evening we'll take a look at **26** major anomalies that plague the official story of what the government said happened on 9/11. We'll examine a lot of "evidence" that's been ignored by the White House, the 9/11 Commission and the mainstream media.

26 MAJOR ANOMALIES [same as # XX below]

3. Next, I'll be showing you **10** similar events that have changed history and may have acted as "precedents" for 9/11.

10 WAR PRETEXT INCIDENTS [same as # XX below]

4. Then, we'll discuss "**Why Understanding 9/11 is Crucial to Our Future**"

Let's start by examining the narrative they call "the Official Story" of 9/11. Here's a concise summary:

[26 MAJOR ANOMALIES OF 9/11]

"19 Muslim/Arab fanatics who were funded and trained by al Qaeda and directed by Osama Bin Laden, from a cave in Afghanistan, hijacked four commercial airliners with knives and box cutters on September 11, 2001.

"They took the North American Air Defense Command (NORAD) completely by surprise, flying two of them into the Twin Towers.

"As a result, WTC Towers 1 & 2 collapsed (within two hours of being hit) in a "pancake" fashion due to structural failure caused by the crashing planes and ensuing fires.

"The third plane hit the Pentagon and disappeared ... into the building.

"As did the fourth plane that crashed near Shanksville, Pennsylvania, which disappeared into a field.

“Later that day Building 7 collapsed due to structural failure caused by falling debris and fires.

“The 9/11 Commission found that there were no prior warnings for this ‘act of terrorism.’

“And that multiple US government intelligence failures were to blame.”

Let’s dissect the official story and see if it stands up to some of the other evidence that has been discovered since 9/11.

### **“19 Muslim/Arab fanatics**

1. Who were these guys? Devout Muslims intent on meeting their maker and being rewarded for their good deeds with 72 virgins in Heaven? Well certainly not all of them. Because several of them, including the ringleader Mohamed Atta, reportedly frequented strip clubs and paid for lap dances in the days and weeks leading up to 9/11– hardly the behavior of devout Muslims.

If you’ll recall, the Bush administration said, at first, they had no idea who the attackers were. They’d been taken completely by surprise. But within 24 hours they had pinpointed all 19 suspects plus Osama bin Laden and broadcasted their pictures far and wide, for everyone to see. That was pretty quick, when you think they had no idea who the culprits were in the first place. Or did they?

It turns out these guys were being tracked by the FBI, the CIA and military intelligence. If you Google “Able Danger” you’ll find out all about that. Whistleblower Lt. Col. Anthony Shaffer and others in the Pentagon’s “Able Danger” team informed a Congressional committee about that. But how many of us heard about it?

Of the 19, 15 of them were known troublemakers who were deliberately allowed to enter the USA by the CIA who were working at a US consulate in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. How can we tell? Michael J. Springmann blew the whistle on the “Visas for Terrorists Program” that let them in.

After 9/11, he phoned the FBI over and over again, but to no avail. Mr. Springmann's statements contradict the 9/11 Commission's statement that the alleged hijackers "received little or no assistance from the United States." (page 56 of the report).

At least five of them may have trained at US military bases, as reported in *Newsweek*.

The names of the so-called hijackers never appeared on any passenger manifests that were released by the airline companies. And according to the British press, half a dozen of the alleged 9/11 "suicide hijackers" were still alive, that is if you believe the **BBC** and *The Guardian*. How is that possible? And if they are still alive, why haven't US officials removed their names from the list of 9/11 suspects?

### **They were funded and trained by al Qaeda**

2. If you do some digging around you find out that one of the 9/11 Money Men was Lt. General Mahmoud Ahmad (who turns out was Pakistan's Director-General of Intelligence). He orchestrated the transfer of \$100,000 to ringleader Mohamed Atta just prior to September 11. What's interesting about that is, at the time of 9/11, Pakistan's military intelligence was pretty tight with the CIA. That's why he was visiting members of the Bush administration from September 4<sup>th</sup> to 13<sup>th</sup>, 2001 in Washington. If you don't think so, just ask Senator Bob Graham, or Representative Porter Goss, chairmen of the Senate and House Intelligence committees, who were his hosts at a breakfast meeting on Capitol Hill on the morning of the attacks.

Now here's an interesting fact. What do you think the 9/11 Commission reported about that? "To date, the US government has not been able to determine the origin of the money used for the 9/11 attacks. Ultimately the question is of little practical significance." Little practical significance?!

**They were directed by Osama Bin Laden, from a cave in Afghanistan,**

3. On the FBI's Ten Most Wanted Fugitives list, you'll see that even though Osama is listed as one of the Top Ten Most Wanted Fugitives (with ties to the US embassy bombings in Africa back in 1998) there's no mention of 9/11. Why? Because according to FBI spokesman, Rex Tomb, "the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Osama Bin Laden to 9/11." And yet the White House blames him for orchestrating the attacks. Don't they believe the FBI?

GOOD GRAPHIC NEEDED

4. Osama was reportedly receiving kidney dialysis at the American Hospital in Dubia in July 2001. According to the Times of India, the CIA station chief visited him in hospital.

CARTOON GRAPHIC NEEDED

One day before 9/11 (that is on September 10, 2001) guess where Osama was? In a Pakistani army hospital in Rawalpindi, at least if you can believe Dan Rather of CBS news.

**hijacked four commercial airliners with knives and box cutters.**

5. What evidence has the US government actually provided to prove that 19 Arabs hijacked the 4 commercial passenger planes on 9/11?

a. A passport found at Ground Zero; *how could a paper passport have survived that inferno?*

b. Video clips at various airport terminals; *why didn't their names appear on the manifests?*

c. Atta's will (and other incriminating evidence) found in Atta's unloaded baggage;

*Why would you pack your will on a trip to martyrdom?*

d. A passport and red bandana found at Shanksville's United 93 crash site; *How could those items survive the crash when everything else disappeared into the hole?*

e. A series of bin Laden ‘confession tapes’ and ‘warnings of future attacks’ after 9/11.

*Why does Osama appear to have a broader nose, and look so different now?*

#### CONFESSION TAPE GRAPHIC NEEDED

f. Around 40 phone calls from the airliners, including the famous one from Barbara Olson;

*All but two of those calls were dismissed as real at the Zacharias Moussaoui trial in 2006*

Professor Kee Dewdney, who is one of the hosts here tonight, did some groundbreaking work on that topic, proving that cellphone calls over 8,000 feet back in 2001 were next to impossible. The phone calls to relatives were all probably faked using voice morphing technology.)

6. None of the four airliners transmitted the simple hijack code pilots use when their plane is being hijacked. It takes literally just a split-second for you to flip the button. And yet, it didn’t happen four out of four times.

However, the technology to remotely control 757s and 767s was fully developed in the 1970s, to rescue commercial aircraft in the event of an attempted hijacking. It is called “Home Run” technology. This raises some very interesting questions. Why wasn’t it used on September 11 to save the four ill-fated flights? On the other hand, if the systems were used to control the aircraft and pilot them into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, then who was at the controls? How did they get access to the secret codes?

**“They took the North American Air Defense Command (NORAD) completely by surprise, flying two of them into the Twin Towers.**

7. I don’t think so! *USA Today* reported that in the two years before the attacks NORAD conducted exercises using hijacked airliners as weapons, and one target was the World Trade Center. Here’s the cover of FEMA response manual back in 1997.

*Operation Mascall* simulated a plane crash into the Pentagon eleven months prior to 9/11 in October 2000.

In March 2006, during the Moussaoui trial, several items made the headlines, including FBI agents stating that the bureau was aware, years beforehand, that al Qaeda planned to use planes to destroy important buildings.

One of Bush's Presidential Daily Briefings, given to Bush at his ranch in Crawford Texas, in July 2001, was entitled: "Bin Laden determined to strike inside USA." So why did they say they were completely surprised?

8. Routine procedures in which fighter jets intercept off-course aircraft were not applied to the four hijacked airliners that day. In a 9-month period just prior to June 2001 there were at least 67 fighter jet scrambles called by the FAA. However, the number of fighter jet scrambles fell to 'zero' during the three months prior to September 11<sup>th</sup>.

9. On September 11th, there was an unprecedented number of major military air defense drills (some mimicking hijacked airliners being flown into buildings) in full progress. Several high-ranking military officials have stated that these drills played havoc with the tracking of the hijacked flights. (Many involved did know if it was "real world" or "exercise?") At one point there were 29 separate reports of hijackings in the system. Who scheduled all those war games to coincide on 9/11 which would make America so vulnerable that day? Five months before 9/11 – Dick Cheney was placed in charge of the "seamless coordination" of all federal and military exercises related to terrorism. One such war game "Global Guardian" was rescheduled from being played out in October or November, as it normally was, to the week of September 11. One wonders why.

**“As a result, World Trade Towers 1 & 2 collapsed **within two hours of being hit** in a “pancake” fashion due to structural failure caused by the crashing planes and ensuing fires.**

10. Fire has never – prior to or after 9/11 – caused any steel-framed building to collapse. The sudden, vertical, explosive, and total collapse of the Twin Towers at near free fall speed can only be explained by controlled demolition. There are many examples of hi-rises that have burned for hours and hours without totally collapsing – not one prior to 9/11 which completely collapsed due to fire.

11. Architect Richard Gage AIA, has found over 1,000 qualified architects and engineers from around the world who agree that the Twin Towers could not have collapsed the way the government said they did. He is the leader of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth who have found 10 or more unique characteristics of the World Trade Center collapses curiously overlooked by the FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) and recent NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) reports. (FIRE cannot produce even ONE of these characteristics, let alone all 10.)

1. Sudden onset of destruction at the base of the structures
2. Straight down, symmetrical collapse neatly into the buildings’ footprints
3. Free-fall acceleration (for at least 10 stories) through the path of greatest resistance
4. Near total dismemberment of the steel structure
5. Minimal damage to the adjacent buildings
6. Sounds of explosions heard by witnesses such as Willie Rodriguez, a janitor in the North Tower
7. What kind of energy was involved in turning 90,000 tons of concrete into

dust the size of talcum powder?

8. Cleanup workers reported that pools of molten iron running “like lava” were found deep below the WTC up to 6 weeks after the collapses

9. According to FEMA there was: “Sulfidation, intergranular melting & evaporation of steel”

10. Physicist Steven Jones has found traces of nano-thermite in dust samples of the WTC – which would indicate that military grade explosives were used to bring the towers down.

Does this sound like the result of a “gravitational pancake collapse” caused by fires as the authorities would have us believe?

Fires cause only large gradual deformations and asymmetrical collapses – it was as if the Twin Towers had their core columns ‘removed’ essentially simultaneously.

[ 17:00 mins.]

**“The third plane hit the Pentagon and disappeared ... into the building.**

13. There was incredibly little debris of the giant Boeing 757 aircraft that supposedly crashed into the Pentagon. There was no wing damage found on the facade of the building. They never found the two huge six-ton engines made of virtually indestructible tempered steel and titanium belonging to Flight 77. How is that possible?

**“As did the fourth plane that crashed near Shanksville, Pennsylvania, which disappeared into a field.**

14. Similarly there was very little debris left of United Flight 93 which allegedly crashed into a field near Shanksville. Debris however was found scattered over a 5 to 8 mile swath. The largest piece of debris found was the size of a telephone book. Some residents in the area heard a loud explosion and saw a military jet pass overhead just prior to the crash.

**“Later that day Building 7 collapsed due to structural failure caused by falling debris and fires.**

15. WTC Building 7 wasn't hit by an airplane, and yet the 47-storey steel-framed skyscraper located 300 feet from the Twin Towers collapsed at 5:20 that afternoon. It imploded at free fall speed into its own footprint just as you would expect a building to do that was brought down using controlled demolition, and yet NIST 's final report (which finally came out in Nov. 2008) blamed structural failure due to fires and debris damage from the Twin Towers. Reports of multiple explosions by witnesses like Barry Jennings were completely ignored.

16. Larry Silverstein, the landlord/owner of Building 7 made an extraordinary statement on PBS during a TV documentary entitled “America Rebuilds” aired in 2004 in which he stated: “I remember getting a call from the fire department commander, telling me that they were not sure that they were gonna be able to contain the fire, and I said, ‘You know, we’ve had such a terrible loss of life, maybe the smartest thing to do is ... pull it.’ And they made that decision to pull and then we watched the building collapse.” Now if that isn't an order to demolish a building, I don't know what is.

**“The 9/11 Commission found that ...:**

17. Do you realize it took 411 days for Bush to call on a commission to look into 9/11? Whereas, it only took the US government 9 days to call a commission into Pearl Harbor, 7 days for the assassination of JFK, and 6 days for the Titanic. Why so long for the 9/11 Commission?

Not only that, but most of the nearly 400 questions that the Family Steering Committee filed with the 9/11 Commission were completely ignored, like the question about who funded the attacks.

18. The 9/11 Commission was fatally flawed from the outset. Its mandate was to find out *how to prevent another such attack* from happening and NOT to find out *who was responsible*.

Let me ask you, just how can you prevent another such attack, if you don't know who was responsible for it, the first time? In the words of the two chairmen of the commission, Lee Hamilton and Thomas Kean, "It was set up to fail!" The commission admitted they'd been denied access to key witnesses. Max Cleland one of the original commissioners quit during the hearings saying the commission was a whitewash. It is now known that NORAD, the FBI and the CIA all lied or obstructed justice at one time or another during the hearings and all without reprimands. Most recently, in 2009, Senior Counsel John Farmer admitted that the 9/11 Commission itself deliberately misled the public about the 9/11 time line. So, I ask you, what good is (or was) the 9/11 Commission Report?

#### **“There were no prior warnings**

19. In fact, there were warnings of the impending attacks from at least eleven other nations. (Just Google “9/11 Warnings.”) On top of that, insiders such as John Ashcroft and San Francisco Mayor Willie Brown were warned “not to fly” prior to 9/11. According to Richard Clarke, and George Tenet, “the system was blinking red” in the days leading up to the so-called attacks, but the Bush White House was not keen on doing anything about it.

20. Unidentified insiders made millions on the stocks of American Airlines and United Airlines by placing “put options” made just prior to 9/11 that the stocks would plummet on or around 9/11. The New York Stock Exchange is unwilling to give up the names of those who profited, citing privacy concerns. But what's more important, the privacy of those who deal on the NYSE or finding out who knew what, about 9/11, before it happened and then profited from it?

**“There were no prior warnings ... for this “act of terrorism.”**

21. The White House took advantage of this so-called act of terrorism. Just prior to 9/11 in September of 2000, a group of Neo-con hawks, members of the Project for a New American Century, many of who became key officials in the Bush administration, wrote in a paper called “Rebuilding America’s Defenses” that their proposed plans for a massive military buildup in the Middle East would proceed slowly unless there was “some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor.”

Isn’t it a lucky coincidence that they got their “New Pearl Harbor” on 9/11? The White House took full advantage of it and called 9/11 an “act of war” and immediately prepared to attack Iraq. But they couldn’t muster enough public support to attack Iraq so they ended up making a case to attack Afghanistan, while at the same time building up fake evidence for two lies to substantiate an attack on Iraq:

1. that Saddam was importing yellow cake uranium from Niger and was developing WMDs and therefore was a threat, and also
2. that Osama bin Laden was somehow connected to Saddam Hussein, neither of which was true.

22. In the meantime, the Taliban, who were ruling Afghanistan, offered to hand over Osama bin Laden to the US after 9/11, provided that the White House came up with solid evidence that Osama was linked to 9/11. But the US, for some reason, refused to do so. (In fact, Secretary of State Colin Powell even promised the UN (and the world) that he would provide a White Paper with all the evidence, but he never did.)

23. Back in early 2001 the Bush administration held a series of negotiations with the Taliban to build a gas pipeline through Afghanistan . “At one moment during one of the negotiations, U.S. representatives told the Taliban, ‘Either you accept our offer of a carpet of gold, or we bury you under a carpet of bombs.’” And that is exactly what happened to the Taliban. The coalition forces say that eventually they are going to pull out of Afghanistan, but according to CBS news they will be leaving behind 700 military bases. To defend what I ask? The poor people in Afghanistan or the pipelines?

**“And that multiple US government intelligence failures were to blame.”**

24. The evidence shows that these were deliberate failures. For example:

a. In 2002, Coleen Rowley was named one of TIME magazine’s whistleblowers of the year. The reason? As a lawyer working for the FBI, she attempted to get a search warrant for Zacharias Moussaoui’s laptop computer when it was seized just prior to 9/11. However, her attempts were thwarted by her boss, Robert Mueller, Director of the FBI. Had she been able to get that warrant she may have been able to prevent the so-called attacks. **SHE WAS BLOCKED.**

b. Sibel Edmonds – the most gagged woman in US history tried to testify before the 9/11 Commission about FBI message intercepts which revealed prior knowledge of 9/11 but wasn’t allowed to testify. **SHE WAS GAGGED INSTEAD.**

c. Michael J. Springmann - Tried to testify in front of the 9/11 Commission about the “Visas for Terrorists Program” which was being run by the CIA in Jeddah. **HE WAS IGNORED.**

d. Lt. Col. Anthony Shaffer of the Pentagon testified that he and others were told by the Bush White House to shut down their operation “Able Danger” which was tracking two of the three 9/11 terror cells (one led by Mohamed Atta) just prior to 9/11.

25. If, as the official story goes, there was a massive intelligence failure on 9/11, then why were the following people promoted shortly afterwards, instead of being reprimanded?

i. Gen. Richard Myers, acting Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman on 9/11, was promoted from Vice-Chairman to Chairman three days after 9/11.

ii. Rep. Porter Goss, Chairman of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, who met with the 9/11 Money Man in Washington on 9/11 was appointed to be Director of the CIA by President Bush three years later.

iii. Jane Garvey, head of the FAA at the time of 9/11, was chosen by Presidential nominee John Kerry as an “expert” consultant *in charge of security* for the 2004 Democratic National Convention, three years after 9/11, despite her incompetence.

iv. And Robert Mueller is still the Director of the FBI, despite blocking Coleen Rowley.

The only reprimands (that I know of) were aimed at 9/11 whistleblowers inside the FBI, CIA and Pentagon such as Coleen Rowley, Sibel Edmonds, Tony Shaffer, and others who had tried to stop the 9/11 plot.

26. If you remember, one of the biggest intelligence failures ... happened that day in a small classroom in Sarasota Florida ...

Do you remember what President Bush was doing morning of 9/11, in the Booker Elementary classroom, when he knew America was under attack? Even after White House Chief of Staff Andy Card told him a second plane had hit the second of the Twin Towers, Bush just sat there for another 7 or 8 minutes discussing a story about a pet goat with the Grade Two children. Obviously he knew something we don't, otherwise he (and the Secret Service) would have high-tailed it out of there – in case the “terrorists” were to dive bomb the school. But no, he just sat there, as people in the Twin Towers were obviously trapped and dying by the hundreds, later claiming he didn't want to scare the children.

[END OF 26 MAJOR ANOMALIES ... ]

So, as you can see, there is an overwhelming amount of evidence to prove that 9/11 was radically different from what the US government would have us believe.

Even if a few of these anomalies were proven wrong, *the pattern* of lies, deceptions and obfuscation still stands. As theologian David Ray Griffin (author of 9 books on 9/11) says: the only truth about the official story of 9/11 is that it is *false* – and we need a completely new investigation.

[ 27:00 ]

However, there are many of us out there who can't get past the idea that the US government would be involved in such a huge crime – that is, murdering 2,738 of their own citizens plus 235 innocent people from other nations . Well, it may not have been the whole US government, it may have been just *a few* “criminal elements” within the US government who were involved.

The obvious question is how could they have gotten away with it, without someone finding out and spilling the beans?

Well if it was a TOP SECRET military operation, which seems likely, it would have been done with orders to take that secret “to the grave.”

Plus there were many whistleblowers, some of whom I have pointed out, but the mainstream media has covered up their eyewitness accounts. Why? Because the mainstream media is bought and paid for by the military-industrial-complex. (That we point prove in Issue 13 on ps 180-186.) And the military-industrial-complex is one of the main entities which has benefitted the most from the resultant “war on terror.”

Now if you watch Jesse Ventura's latest series called “Conspiracy Theories” he did an episode on 9/11. (We have copies of it out in the lobby. He's the former Governor of Minnesota, Navy SEAL and wrestler.) In it you'll see how easy it is to use intimidation to turn whistleblowers off. Just threaten their job, their family or their life and they shut up pretty quick. One such whistleblower, Barry Jennings died mysteriously in 2008. He claimed he heard explosions happening in Building 7 before it collapsed. (You can read his testimony on p. 132 of Issue 13.)

Also (something I didn't know until I saw Jesse's 9/11 program), since you can actually ‘paint’ superthermite on to the sides of steel girders to make them melt on command, then those who could have been hired to paint it on wouldn't even have known what they were doing.

Finally, using the strategy of “compartmentalization” where the right hand doesn’t know what the left hand is doing, a few key members of the government may have been able to pull off such a crime without the others knowing. If you don’t think that’s possible Google “The Manhattan Project” in which 130,000 people were involved in building the atomic bomb during WW2 ... but only a fraction of them knew what in fact they were building.

[30:00 ]

[INTRO TO: 10 SIMILAR INCIDENTS IN HISTORY...]

9/11 is what historians call a “war pretext incident” which triggered the Bush administration’s “war on terror.” The Bush administration used it to justify their invasion and occupation of both Afghanistan and Iraq as well as the passage of anti-terror legislation which has severely curtailed our civil liberties.

Now if you are thinking, as some do, that 9/11 may have been used by the Bush administration to trick us into going to war, then you’ll be interested to find out that there are, in fact, many other historical precedents for 9/11 in which government covert operations have been used to deceive the public.

In other words, 9/11 may not be unique in the history of state-sponsored terrorism. Because, it isn’t the first time that such ploys have been used to mobilize public opinion into going to war.

Our latest Issue 13 of *Global Outlook* outlines 55 such pretexts or “false flag operations” as they are called that have occurred throughout history. And that number is but the tip of the iceberg.

### **What are false flag operations?**

According to Wikipedia, “They are covert operations which are designed to deceive the public in such a way that the operations appear as though they are being carried out by other entities.”

Where did they get their name? Back in the old days pirate ships would sail up to another ship with a false flag, pretending to be ‘friend’ rather than ‘foe.’ At the last minute the pirates would hoist their black and white skull and bones flag and proceed to attack the bewildered ship, capturing all its bounty, before sinking it.

Here, is a sampling of 10 False Flag Operations which have changed history.

## 10 FALSE FLAG OPERATIONS WHICH CHANGED HISTORY

### **1. The Mexican-American Border Incident, 1846**

In 1845 US President James Polk, offered to purchase New Mexico and California from Mexico. Mexico refused. Polk, was unable to mobilize support for a war against Mexico, and so he sent General Zachary Taylor to provoke an attack from the Mexicans at a disputed border. Allegedly he was fired upon. Senator John Calhoun and Presidential hopeful, Abraham Lincoln, reported that they couldn’t find “a particle of evidence that Mexico [had] made war against the US.” Despite the controversy, Congress declared war on Mexico in 1846. A year later, the US was victorious, and grabbed a million square miles of new territory which enlarged the nation by one-third – adding New Mexico, California, Nevada, Utah, most of Arizona and parts of Wyoming and Colorado to the rest of the US.

### **2. The Sinking of the Maine, 1898**

By 1897, the American big business community was clamoring for war with Spain. Cuba was poised to win its war of independence from Spain. On the pretext of protecting the safety of US citizens, the US government dispatched the battleship Maine to Havana Harbor. A huge onboard explosion sank the Maine at anchor in the harbor, killing 266 Americans. Who caused the explosion, we don’t know. But US newspapers went berserk. Without a shred of evidence they asserted that the Spanish, who had no motive for war whatsoever, had sunk the Maine. Congress declared war, Spain was defeated, and the United States obtained Cuba, the Philippines, Guam and Puerto Rico as a result.

### **3. The Sinking of the Lusitania, 1915**

By 1915, Europe had been at war for a year, but the US public didn't want to get involved. One day, in the middle of May a German sub sank the British passenger liner *The Lusitania* off the coast of Ireland, killing 1,200 including 128 Americans. US newspapers helped arouse outrage against Germany. Shortly afterwards, the US declared war on Germany. The sinking however had been arranged by the British Admiralty, to bring the US into the war. Portrayed as a passenger liner, the Lusitania was in fact fitted with deck guns and carried six million rounds of British-bound ammunition, as German spies only too easily learned. She was sent with no escorts at reduced speed into an area where German U-boats were known to operate. It was a *fait accompli*.

### **4. The Reichstag Fire, 1933**

On the night of February 27<sup>th</sup>, the German Parliament buildings, known as the Reichstag, erupted in flames, just three days before a general election. Police quickly arrested a feeble minded Dutch communist bricklayer whom they found cowering naked behind the building. Hermann Goering, Hitler's right hand man, arrived within minutes and declared the fire was set by the communists. This vaulted Hitler and the Nazi Party into power. Historians document the fire was set by the Nazis themselves and the lone communist bricklayer was a patsy.

### **5. The Gleiwitz Attack, 1939**

Testimony at the Nuremberg war trials after World War II revealed the Nazis carried out 21 fake terror incidents on the German-Polish border prior to the Nazi invasion of Poland on September 1st. The most notable incident was at Gleiwitz on the night of August 31st. Members of the German Gestapo dressed themselves up in Polish uniforms and seized the local radio station and broadcast an anti-German message in Polish. Meanwhile, a few Polish prisoners held by the Germans were dressed in Polish uniforms, killed and left at the scene. They were presented to the press as proof of a Polish attack. The next day Germany launched the blitzkrieg invasion of Poland – initiating World War II.

### **6. Pearl Harbor, 1941**

On Sunday, December 7<sup>th</sup>, Japan attacked Pearl Harbor, killing 2,460 Americans and crippling the US Pacific fleet. Days later, the US declared war on Japan, Germany and Italy. Most historians agree that President Roosevelt, used an 8-point plan to provoke and covertly maneuver Japan into attacking the base, thus galvanizing massive public support for the US entry into World War II. Noted historians John Toland and more recently Robert Stinnett go one step further and say that politicians in Washington, including FDR, knew exactly when Pearl Harbor was going to be attacked and let it happen on purpose. It was certainly a surprise attack for the American public as well as those stationed in Pearl Harbor, but not for those pulling the strings in DC.

## **7. Operation Gladio, 1953-1990**

In August 1990 Italian Prime Minister Giulio Andreotti confirmed to the Italian Senate the existence of a secret so-called “stay-behind army,” code-named Gladio – or the Sword – masterminded by NATO and the CIA which had been in place for the previous 37 years and was operating throughout Western Europe. Gladio was responsible for several bloody false flag bombings to demonize Leftists and prevent democratic wins by left-wing political parties. This included the Bologna Train Station Bombing which killed 85 innocent civilians in 1980.

## **8. The Korean Border Provocation, 1950**

On June 25, it was widely reported in the US press that the North Korean military moved three miles into South Korean territory. The US accused North Korea of “brutal, unprovoked aggression.” The Truman administration claimed it was Stalin’s first post-World War II move in his plan for world domination. However, historians have found that the attack began two days earlier when South Korea first launched an attack which deliberately provoked a response. This provocation sparked the three year Korean War in which about 3 million civilians were killed, two-thirds in North Korea.

## **9. Operation Northwoods, 1962**

Right at the beginning of John F. Kennedy's term in office, in April of 1961, the CIA and the Pentagon tried to pull off an illegal invasion of Cuba at the Bay of Pigs but Kennedy refused to authorize air cover support. The invasion failed, but the Pentagon and CIA remained obsessed with taking Cuba. General Lyman Lemnitzer Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff went to Kennedy with an outlandish plan called "Operation Northwoods." The plan called for several scenarios which could kick start a war with Cuba. One of them was that a plane supposedly loaded with US passengers was to be shot down. Another was to have innocent Americans shot dead on American soil by supposed Cuban terrorists. Any or all of these false flag operations would be blamed on Castro, justifying a war on Cuba. JFK scotched the plan.

## **10. The Gulf of Tonkin Incident, 1964**

By 1964, concern about the alleged increasing threat of Communist control over South-East Asia was mounting. On August 2, the US media reported that North Vietnamese PT boats had attacked the USS Maddox off the coast of Vietnam. Two days later the *Gulf of Tonkin Resolution* was approved by Congress. It was an unofficial declaration of war on North Vietnam which resulted in a huge escalation of the conflict. By the end of the war, more than 3 million Vietnamese and 58,000 American soldiers were killed. Historians now conclude that the Gulf of Tonkin attacks never happened. Eyewitness claim there never had been any such attacks.

Well, that's just ten examples of some famous false flag operations. There are another 45 others detailed (or mentioned) in Issue 13.

Once you see how often governments use false flag operations to start wars (or justify them), you can see how likely it is that 9/11 was *also* a "false flag op." It has all the hallmarks of one.

Now back to our original question: *Why is Understanding 9/11 Crucial to Our Future?*

As George Santayana once said, “Those who cannot remember the past are doomed to repeat it.”

And repeat it we are ... with devastating consequences. Since 9/11, there have been several including:

**The Madrid Commuter Train Bombings of 3/11** – in 2004 – killing 191 and wounding 1,460 and as such was the worst such event in modern Spanish history. It was used as an attempt to re-elect a right-wing government in Spain on March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2004 who was refusing the public’s demand to remove Spanish troops from Iraq. The false flag fraud however didn’t fool Spanish voters, who turfed them out and elected the anti-war socialist party.

Some of the anomalies included the fact that: two of the bombing suspects were police informants, another one was linked to the Spanish security service, and the bomb damage was NOT consistent with backpack bombs which were allegedly used to blow up the trains. The real bombs were most likely hidden under the train carriages. Shortly afterwards, all the suspects were conveniently killed in an apartment building shootout.

[41:00 ]

**The London Public Transit Bombings of 7/7** – in 2005 – killing 56 people and injuring 700 others – was used to legitimize British involvement in the Iraq War, put an end to the anti-globalization protests in Scotland and terrorize the populace into accepting even more draconian police state measures to maintain ‘security.’

Some of the anomalies included that fact that: The attack was timed right in the middle of the G8 summit at the Glenn Eagles Hotel in Scotland which was under siege by 200,000 anti-globalization protestors.

The four young Muslim men who allegedly were behind it had bought *return* transit tickets and long-term car park tickets. Two of them had pregnant wives. Eyewitness reports of the damage from the three subway bombs indicated the explosions came from underneath the train carriage floors, not from any backpacks placed on the floor. As with 9/11, terrorist attack drills were taking place at the exact same time, and the exact same locations as the real attacks. What a coincidence!

Same pattern. Same outcome.

And more recently, quite possibly:

### **The Detroit Bound Underwear Bomber of Christmas Day – 2009**

Some of the anomalies include the fact that Underwear Bomber Umar Abdul Mutallab was able to board the plane in Amsterdam without a passport and without any baggage. According to eyewitness attorney Kurt Haskell and his wife Lori, a smart-dressed East Indian man made all the arrangements with the people at the check-in counter at Northwest Airlines. Apparently, Mutallab's father, the head of a major bank, warned the CIA station chief in Nigeria just one month before the incident that his son was a risk, but they obviously did nothing to prevent him from flying.

So you can see that there are certain patterns which identify these false flag operations.

That is why it is imperative for us to be able to recognize future false flag operations whenever and wherever they happen.

Now let's turn our attention to the 2010 Olympics happening right now in B.C.

### **“Could Vancouver 2010 be the next 9/11?”**

That's the title of an online article by Marc Stinebaugh. In it he says there are some **WARNING SIGNS** which would indicate this:

1. Two tons of Ammonium Nitrate, (a fertilizer used in making powerful explosives) went missing January 6 from a storage facility in Surrey BC.
2. On January 24<sup>th</sup> Osama bin Laden supposedly came out of hiding and predictably praised the underwear bomber in his failed bombing attempt on Christmas Day, saying the terror attacks will continue as long as there is no justice for the Palestinians.
3. Just recently, “on November 27, 2009 Public Safety Minister Peter Van Loan and Justice Minister Rob Nicholson tabled legislation that would transform designated US police and security agents into peace officers equal to the RCMP ‘in every part of Canada’ during joint maritime border operations.” The legislation won’t be passed in time for the Olympics but an attack would certainly ensure it got passed afterwards.
4. Apparently Peter Power, of Visor Consultants, the same guy who was in charge of the terror drills in the London underground on 7/7/05 during the London bombings, will be working as a consultant for the Canadian Center for Emergency Preparedness during the Olympics. (NB the probability of three drills and a three terror attacks coinciding at the same time and same place are one in a quadrillion. Visor must have been tipped off.)
5. The Vancouver Integrated Security Unit said that they would not necessarily rule out using *agent provocateurs* in protests (another false-flag technique) to cause arrests. That is very alarming for the peaceful protestors planning to attend.
6. A recent novel by Vancouver criminal lawyer Jay Clark (pen name Michael Slade) called ‘*Red Snow*,’ predicts a terror attack at the 2010 Olympics.

Watch out for something unusual happen to happen on February 22<sup>nd</sup> as that is a number that would fit the pattern of doubled numbers that appears so frequently for false flag operations like: 9/11 in New York, 3/11 in Madrid, JFK was assassinated on 11/ 22, RFK on 6/6 , the London 7/7 Bombings, and coming up February 22nd (2/22) in Vancouver or possibly March 3<sup>rd</sup> (3/3) when Parliament is set to resume.

If there were a future terrorist attack in Canada, we would all be horrified. But, we need to be *careful* how we react to it. It could be a false flag operation. So we need to ask lots of questions, of the authorities, of our MPs and of the media so we don't get inadvertently swept up in something that can be used against our own best interests. For example: to allow our governments to bring in more police state measures, or to gain our support to have the coalition forces bomb Iran, or have us willing give up our nationhood to join a new "fortified" North American Union.

As Bob McKeown host of "the fifth estate" program on 9/11 which aired last December said, "The real importance of the fight over 9/11 Truth may have less to do with *the past* than it does with *the future*."

### ***So Why is Understanding 9/11 Crucial to Our Future ?***

Our sad history of bogus terrorism and endless wars of hegemony and resource theft **may never end** until the we get a new, thoroughly independent investigation into 9/11.

We may not be able to organize that in Canada, I don't know, but we can support our American colleagues to the South in their efforts to get a new inquiry.

They almost got one in New York City last fall, with a municipal ballot initiative which was signed by over 80,000 New Yorkers. You can read about it in the back pages of Issue 13.

Why haven't we had a new investigation by now, with so many unanswered questions surrounding 9/11?

The simple answer is, the establishment has too much to lose by re-opening it

President Johnson was once asked confidentially by a senior military official why he didn't order all the US troops out of Vietnam, when it was clear it was unwinnable. Johnson replied, "Because I have too many friends who are profiting from it."

## PIC OF LBJ

Here we can clearly see the motives of those who are using these “pretext war incidents” to spark wars or keep them going. Without wars there would be no arms sales. Without arms sales there would be no profits for the military-industrial-complex. And it’s the military-industrial-complex that rules the roost in the US of A. If you don’t think so, ask any member of Congress.

So if you want to see an end to all the nasty outcomes of the “war on terror”, you can see why it’s crucial to understanding 9/11 — because it’s the reason for most of our problems.

All the killings and mayhem in Afghanistan and Iraq;  
All the atrocities we’ve heard about at Abu Ghraib and Guantanamo Bay;  
Enemy Combatants – who are denied their rights (such as *Habeas Corpus*);  
Renditioning of innocent people such as Mahar Arar;  
Ongoing use of torture: Waterboarding, Frequent Flyer Programs;  
Secret No-Fly lists, Terror Watch lists, Full body scans;  
Continual Erosion of our civil liberties: like Patriot Act I and II;  
Increased Public surveillance, Homeland Security;  
More and more doublespeak, such as:  
    Collateral Damage = Civilian Casualties, War = Peace;  
    That we will have to give up more of our freedoms for more security;  
More incidents of “terrorism”; More “threats” from Osama;  
Endless Wars that “won’t end in our lifetimes” (according to Dick Cheney).

It is most likely based on a “Great Deception” – designed, carried out and held in place by those behind the military-industrial-*media*-complex.

Oh yes, don’t forget the corporate-controlled mainstream *media*. They are the ones that are paid to keep the deception in place.

So I ask you, “How can we expect different results, if we keep accepting the same lies?” We can’t!

But there's one chink in their armor. A smart peaceful way to pull the curtain back on it all –

For all of us to support each other in getting a new, *completely independent* inquiry into 9/11 ... to get at the *real* truth.

If we get that, then maybe we'll see some real justice in this world!

Remember there is no peace without justice.

And, there will be no justice without the truth.

- 30 -